Case: 3:93-cr-00714-JGC Doc #: 140 Filed: 11/08/07 1 of 2. PageID #: 31

IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE NORTHERN DISTRICT OF OHIO WESTERN DIVISION

Wilson de Jesus Rendon-Marin,

Case No. 3:93CR714

Plaintiff

v. ORDER

United States of America,

Defendant

This is a criminal case in which the defendant, Wilson de Jesus Rendon-Marin, was convicted following trial on two drug-related counts. As a result of the defendant's prior convictions for other major drug offenses, he was sentenced a mandatory term of life imprisonment without parole. His direct appeal and a petition under 28 U.S.C. § 2255 were unsuccessful.

Now pending is a motion, styled as a "Motion for Relief from Judgment or Order pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b)(6)," in which the defendant claims that a search that discovered cocaine violated the Fourth Amendment. The government opposes the motion, which shall be denied.

## **Discussion**

The pending motion seeks to reopen the judgment entered on September 21, 1994. The basis on which the defendant seeks to do so, Rule 60(b), is a rule of *civil*, not *criminal*, procedure. It cannot, therefore, be used to reopen a judgment in a criminal case. *U.S. v. Bender*, 96 Fed. Appx. 344, 2004 WL 898721, at \*1 (6th Cir. April 26, 2004) (unpublished) (citing *U.S. v. Fair*, 326 F.3d 1317, 1318 (11th Cir. 2003). *Accord*, *e.g.*, *U.S. v. Worthy*, 142 F.3d 438, 1998 WL 136208 (6th Cir. March 18, 1998) (unpublished); *U.S. v. Cabero*, 2005 WL 1484669 (E.D.Tenn. June 21, 2005).

Case: 3:93-cr-00714-JGC Doc #: 140 Filed: 11/08/07 2 of 2. PageID #: 32

Because § 2255 motions are civil in nature, a Rule 60(b) motion may be used to seek relief

from the denial of a previously denied § 2255 motion. Such use is, though, limited to issues decided

in the § 2255 case. See McQueen v. Scroggy, 99 F.3d 1302, 1334-35 (6th Cir. 1996).

Here the defendant seeks relief on the basis of other issues, which were not raised in his §

2255 petition. Thus, his motion cannot be construed as a motion challenging the denial of his § 2255

petition.

Conclusion

For the foregoing reasons, the defendant's motion under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) for relief from

judgment must be denied.

It is, therefore,

ORDERED THAT the defendant's motion for relief under Fed. R. Civ. P. 60(b) be, and the

same hereby is denied.

An appeal from this decision would be without any merit, and shall not be allowed without

prepayment of the requisite filing fee.

So ordered.

s/James G. Carr James G. Carr

Chief Judge

2